THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS MCALLEN DIVISION

* * * * *

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA * NO. M-19-CR-2341

* McAllen, Texas

VS.

* 10:19 a.m.- 10:35 a.m. BRENDA ALICIA FUENTES * September 10, 2020

SENTENCING HEARING

(By Videoconference)

BEFORE THE HONORABLE MICAELA ALVAREZ UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

* * * * *

Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording Transcript produced by transcription service

```
1
   APPEARANCES:
 2
   For the United States:
 3
        MS. AMY GREENBAUM
        U.S. Attorney's Office
 4
        1701 W. Business Hwy. 83, Suite 600
        McAllen, TX 78501
 5
   For the Defendant:
 6
        MR. RUDY MORENO
 7
        Law Office of Rudy Moreno
        5526 North 10th Street
 8
        McAllen, TX 78505
   U.S. Probation Officer:
 9
10
        JIM FLETCHER
        REY MORENO
11
   Court Interpreter:
12
        ELENA MEDRANO (Present, not needed)
13
   Court Clerk:
14
        JULIE SANCHEZ
15
   Electronic Recorder:
16
        KATHRYN NELSON
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1 PROCEEDINGS 2 10:19 A.M. - SEPTEMBER 10, 2020 3 THE COURT: Case No. 19-2341, and this is 4 Brenda Alicia Fuentes, and she is out on bond. 5 MS. GREENBAUM: Amy Greenbaum for the 6 Government, present and ready. 7 MR. MORENO: Good morning, Your Honor. 8 THE COURT: Good morning. 9 MR. MORENO: Rudy Moreno on behalf of Ms. Fuentes. She is present and ready for sentencing, 10 11 Your Honor. 12 THE COURT: Thank you. 13 Ms. Fuentes, please raise your right hand 14 to be sworn in. Do you solemnly swear the testimony you will give in the case now before the Court shall be 15 16 the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, 17 so help you God? 18 DEFENDANT FUENTES: I do. 19 THE COURT: All right, thank you. You may put 20 y our hand down. 21 Let me address something with your 22 attorney first. Mr. Moreno, did you talk with 2.3 Ms. Fuentes about her right to be present in person for 24 this proceeding? 25 MR. MORENO: Yes, Your Honor.

	1
1	THE COURT: And did she understand she has
2	that right, but agreed to give up that right and appear
3	by video?
4	MR. MORENO: Yes, Your Honor.
5	THE COURT: Ms. Fuentes, do you understand
6	that you do have the right to be present in person for
7	this hearing?
8	DEFENDANT FUENTES: Yes, I do.
9	THE COURT: Do you wish to give up that right
10	and appear by video, as you are doing so far?
11	DEFENDANT FUENTES: Yes.
12	THE COURT: All right, thank you, then,
13	Ms. Fuentes.
14	The Court then will continue to
15	sentencing. You are facing sentencing for a charge of
16	sexual abuse of a ward. Do you understand that?
17	DEFENDANT FUENTES: Yes, Your Honor.
18	THE COURT: Mr. Moreno, did you receive and
19	review the Presentence Investigation Report?
20	MR. MORENO: I did, Your Honor, and we filed
21	objections to
22	THE COURT: We'll come to that in just a
23	moment, okay? Did you review it with Ms. Fuentes?
24	MR. MORENO: I did, Your Honor.
25	THE COURT: Ms. Fuentes, did you review with

2.3

your attorney the Presentence Investigation Report?

DEFENDANT FUENTES: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Now let's go ahead and address the objection because that will make a difference where we are in the Guidelines. And I will actually start with the Government because, Mr. Moreno, I tend to agree with you on the objection, but I'll hear from the Government and see if there is anything else they wish to offer.

And to the Government what the Court sees -- and I understand that, you know, we do have some cases where the enhancement has been applied even when it is a situation of somebody in detention and the defendant is an employee, staffer of the jail, or whatever you want to call it. But I think here what the Court sees is that we don't have any other real facts other than he is a ward, and that is considered within the offense level itself.

So is there anything the Government would point to?

MS. GREENBAUM: Well, Your Honor, I would just add that although the defendant -- I'm sorry, although the victim was not physically restrained, he was in official detention under the care, custody, and authority of the defendant. And as the Court sees on a

2.1

2.3

daily basis here, the inmates of the facility do not have free reign of the facility. They are escorted to and from locations just like they are when they are escorted here to court on an every-day basis.

So, off of that, I would just note that the victim could not flee without facing consequences from the defendant. Although the defendant was assigned to work there in the kitchen while not being restrained, because he's in the kitchen, he couldn't work in the kitchen without being restrained.

If he were to flee the kitchen or flee, I mean, that's something that's very serious, that the detention officers and the marshals or anybody that would be present would take that very serious.

THE COURT: And I don't disagree with any of that, but what I'm saying is at least based on the facts that were presented here is that there wasn't nothing beyond the fact that he is a ward and, yes, certainly in official detention under the authority.

We don't necessarily a lot more as far as the extent of Ms. Fuentes' authority in the particular situation. I know she worked in the kitchen.

But even if she was in charge, let's say she's in charge of the kitchen and would have the ability to say, "Well, if you don't go along with this,

1 you know, you're not going to work in the kitchen any 2 There's nothing to indicate that there was any 3 other kind of vulnerability here other than the fact that he is a ward here. And in certain of these cases, 4 5 you know, I think, you know, the particular 6 circumstances sort of increase the vulnerability. 7 think anybody who's in detention, there's some vulnerability there because, you're correct, they're 8 under the control. 9 10 MS. GREENBAUM: Correct. And in this case, Your Honor, it was the defendant who told or directed 11 12 the victim, "Come with me to move boxes to the back of the kitchen." And that's what --13 14 THE COURT: I understand. But even though 15 there doesn't appear to be any kind of coerciveness 16 before that, that made him such that he felt like there 17 was no choice, beyond the fact that he is a ward,

MS. GREENBAUM: Yes, Your Honor.

again, I recognize that.

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

THE COURT: But with nothing more than what I have in the report, the Court does believe that the objection should be sustained, to not add those two points for vulnerability here.

So, Ms. Fuentes, then the Guideline range becomes 10 to 16 months. Do you understand that?

1 DEFENDANT FUENTES: Yes, ma'am. 2 THE COURT: All right, thank you. 3 All right, Mr. Moreno, is there anything 4 else that you wish to say in your client's behalf? 5 MR. MORENO: Your Honor, just in regards to 6 sentencing, Your Honor, ***, we're going to be asking 7 for a split sentence, Your Honor. 8 Your Honor, just to this ***, this is a woman who had been married to one person for 20-plus 9 year, had a family, went through a divorce, or I guess 10 separation, Your Honor. And it was around that time, a 11 12 low point, where she became a companion with this 13 individual. You know, aside from that incident, they 14 would talk on the phone. So, you know, it was at this 15 particular situation where she was at that point, Your 16 Honor, is what drove her to that. She has no prior 17 issue, ***, Your Honor. 18 She's 48 years old, going to be 50. 19 She's -- I mean, since the Court released her on bond, 20 she has no violations, she hasn't done anything wrong 2.1 to come back to this Court to get any words as to 22 whether she -- as to whether she would be a threat to 23 the community or anything like that, Judge. She's been 24 working since the time she got out.

We're asking if the Court would consider

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

to depart downward from the Guideline range due to the fact that she's never been in prison and she's had some credit time, about 11 days, Your Honor. It was around during the holidays when the arrest occurred. That's what I would argue in regards to sentencing, Your Honor. THE COURT: Thank you. Ms. Fuentes, is there anything that you wish to say? DEFENDANT FUENTES: Yes, ma'am. I've been in therapy for seven months already. I know I made a mistake. I take full responsibility for my behavior. Therapy has helped me to rectify my faults. I regret I was selfish. I should have never let my my mistake. quard down. Moving forward, I will rebuild my life with a positive attitude -- in a positive direction, I'm sorry. And I apologize to you and to my family. THE COURT: Thank you.

Anything from the Government?

MS. GREENBAUM: Yes, Your Honor. The Government does object to the request for a downward departure. We're asking for a Guideline range sentence, particularly at the upper end, if the Court would so consider.

This offense was actually discovered

2.1

2.3

after the U.S. Marshals and OIG were conducting an investigation into suspected contraband that was being brought into the facility. And so while they were investigating that offense, they discovered this offense.

And by nature of her position, we would argue that there's a sort of power dynamic. She's the cook supervisor, he's the inmate. She's got control of this inmate and other inmates that were working in the kitchen at that time.

And on the victim's account, this wasn't just a one-time thing. He stated that she had actually performed fellatio on him five additional times, in addition to this one time.

And so the Government believes that this is a very serious offense of nature. And it's serious because the community trusts that when people are serving their time or when they're being detained in a facility like this, it's going to be done without corruption or without abuse of power. And we argue that that's exactly what she was doing here. She was abusing her power when she engaged in this conduct with the defendant. And that has an effect on the integrity of this facility and it's wrong.

And for that reason we're asking for a

2.1

2.3

Guideline range sentence, upper end, Your Honor. Thank you.

THE COURT: All right, thank you.

Ms. Fuentes, the Court does consider all that has been presented here. And I want to sort of start off in a slightly different direction than, you know, either attorney has touched on here.

And that is that we hear of these situations on and off, sadly, where, you know, somebody is detained and there is some sort of sexual abuse.

Often, it is a female detainee and a male abuser. And whenever we hear of that kind of situation, I think we sort of always assume that there is some sort of coercion, even if it's not physical coercion, but some sort of coercion. And I think in many of those cases that there's little hesitation from courts, when those come to the court, of imposing some time of imprisonment.

I think in that regard, Ms. Fuentes, that when it's a female that has engaged in the abuse and it's a male victim, that sometimes our stereotyping sort of blinds us, to begin with, to look at the situation a little bit differently and to say, well, because it's a female that was the person in a position of authority, it wasn't abuse. But we're learning more

2.1

2.3

and more, I think, as our country faces many of these situations, that males, just as easily as females, can be sexually abused; that males, just as easily as females, can be abusers. [sic]

And so, Ms. Fuentes, I think it would be easy for the Court to say, well, this really was not a situation involving abuse; this really was a situation where Ms. Fuentes was vulnerable at the time and, you know, was in constant contact with this victim and then they developed a relationship and, you know, we should sort of look at it from the perspective of just an inappropriate relationship as opposed to a criminal act.

I don't think that that is the right approach here, Ms. Fuentes. I think we have to keep in mind that you were in a position of authority here.

Even if -- and again, I don't have specifics here.

But even if you didn't have the authority to say he shouldn't work here any more, that nonetheless, you were in a position of authority, the victim here was vulnerable in the sense of being a ward. I don't think there's added vulnerability that would warrant the additional points. I've already ruled on that issue.

But in the sense of, you know, he is detained, you know, he must follow the rules, he must comply with the appropriate request -- and in this kind

2.3

of situation, perhaps on his part, there may have been some motivation, you know, because I understand he ended up with your phone or a phone from you that he used to communicate with you. But there may have been some motivation in that regard that that phone would give him access to other individuals as well.

But, nonetheless, you know, this was exactly what it is called by way of the name of the offense, sexual abuse of a ward, Ms. Fuentes.

And so while I appreciate, you know, what your attorney has pointed out about your situation at the time, and I am glad, Ms. Fuentes, to hear that you are dealing with sort of what led you to this situation, the Court does believe that because of the sort of corruptive nature of the offense, in that it is a person in a position of authority with a ward who, by definition, is under that person's authority, that a term of imprisonment is necessary in this case both, you know, as to you in particular for the offense here, but also as an added deterrent here.

And the Court does have to keep in mind that, sadly, we deal with these kind of corruption issues. And I'm sort of using the term "corrupt" here, and it may be a way that's a little bit different from how it is ordinarily used. But I do think that when

2.1

somebody is in a position of authority and takes advantage of that position for some self-gain, that that is corruption.

And so in that regard, Ms. Fuentes, I think we also need to send out a strong message here to such individuals how that that kind of conduct is not to be tolerated.

The Court is going to impose a term of imprisonment here, Ms. Fuentes, of 12 months and one day in custody. That really effectively puts you very close to the low end of the Guideline range because that will authorize you to receive credit for good conduct here. But the Court does believe that something other than just the low end of the Guideline range is necessary here.

I am also imposing here a three-year term of supervised release. While you are under supervision, you are not to commit another federal, state, or local crime. You are to comply with the standard conditions adopted by the Court.

Additionally, you are not to possess a firearm, ammunition, destructive device, or any other dangerous weapon.

The Court finds that you do not have the ability to pay the \$250,000 fine and will waive that

1 fine. 2 The Court is also ordering that you 3 register as a sex offender and provide the proper 4 notifications in that regard. All of these conditions are as set out in the Appendix of the Presentence 5 6 Investigation Report. 7 You do have the right to appeal. If you 8 wish to appeal, you need to advise your attorney. 9 appeal needs to be filed within 14 days. If you cannot afford an appeal, you must file for in forma pauperis, 10 11 in which case the clerk will file your Notice of Appeal 12 and the Court will appoint appellate counsel. 13 One other matter for Ms. Fuentes, and 14 that is that, you know, she has been out on bond. 15 inclined to order a surrender date that is a few months 16 down the road. I don't know right now what the Bureau 17 of Prisons, what their sort of delay time is here. 18 Does the Government have any objection to that? 19 MS. GREENBAUM: No, Your Honor. 20 THE COURT: Okay. And to one of the deputies, 21 do you have any idea how long the Bureau of Prisons is 22 taking to designate?

check on that.

THE COURT: Okay, well, let me just go ahead.

DEPUTY MARSHAL: I do not, Judge, but I can

23

24

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

I'm going to do this, Ms. Fuentes. I'm going to allow you to continue out on bond until you are ordered to surrender to the Bureau of Prisons. With the pandemic still ongoing, I'll give you right now say at least three months so that your surrender date would not be any earlier than three months right now, okay? But the way we'll work this is that you will be designated by the Bureau of Prisons. When you receive that designation, you need to communicate with By the way, if it's earlier than three your lawyer. months, I'll, you know, extend that surrender date. If it's later than three months, then I can do that. will allow it to go forward. Okay. But hopefully -and I think the Bureau of Prisons are doing a much better job these days as far as containing the pandemic. But if there's any issue, at least it will give you a little bit of time there. DEFENDANT FUENTES: Thank you. THE COURT: All right. Anything else as to Ms. Fuentes? Your Honor, we just wanted to MS. GREENBAUM: note on the record that the victim was notified of this hearing and elected not to attend this hearing. it's my understanding that there no restitution. THE COURT: And we failed to address that

issue, but thank you for noting it, okay. Then if there is nothing else, then you may be excused. Thank you. MR. MORENO: Thank you, Your Honor. Have a good day. THE COURT: All right, thank you. [10:35 a.m. - Proceedings adjourned] CERTIFICATION I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript of the electronic sound recording of the proceedings in the above-entitled matter. /s/ Gwen Reed 12-29-20